1

# North Boone Upper Elem Sch North Boone CUSD 200 Poplar Grove, ILLINOIS

# **GRADES : 5 6**



State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

Starting in 2009, charter school information is included in district statistics.

# STUDENTS

RACIAL/ETHNIC BACKGROUND AND OTHER INFORMATION															
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander		Two or More Races	Percent Low- Income	Percent Limited- English- Proficient		High Sch. Dropout Rate	Chronic Truancy Rate	Mobility Rate	Attendance Rate	Total Enrollment
School District	70.2 71.9	1.5 1.2	24.0 22.4	0.7 0.8	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.2	3.6 3.5	41.8 38.0	4.0 8.2	12.0 11.8		1.4 8.4	10.6 10.5	96.2 94.4	275 1.722
State	51.0	18.0	23.6	4.2	0.1	0.3	2.8	49.0	9.4	13.6		8.6	13.1	94.4	2,066,692

Low-income students come from families receiving public aid; live in institutions for neglected or delinquent children; are supported in foster homes with public funds; or are eligible to receive free or reduced-price lunches. IEP Students are those students eligible to receive special education services. Limited-English-proficient students are those students eligible for transitional bilingual programs. Mobility rate is based on the number of times students enroll in or leave a school during the school year. Chronic truants are students who are absent from school without valid cause for 18 or more of the last 180 school days.

Total Enrollment is based on Home School.

# INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

PARENTAL	CONTACT*	STUDENT-TO	-STAFF RATIOS	5	
	Percent	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
School	100.0				
District	98.3	18.2	16.4	14.2	191.3
State	95.3	18.9	18.8	13.7	205.0

\* Parental contact includes parent-teacher conferences, parental visits to school, school visits to home, telephone conversations, and written correspondence.

AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)													
Grades	к	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12			
School						26.2	28.0						
District						26.2	28.0						
State						22.8	22.4						

TIME DEVO	TIME DEVOTED TO TEACHING CORE SUBJECTS (Minutes Per Day)												
	Mathematics Science English/Language Arts Social Science												
Grades	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	3	6	8	
School		50			47			110			42		
District		50			47			110			42		
State		56			44			103			43		

2


TEACHER	TEACHER INFORMATION (Full-Time Equivalents)												
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian/ Pacific islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Unknown	Male	Female	Total Number		
District State	99.1 83.3	0.0 7.1	0.9 5.3	0.0 1.3	0.0 0.1	0.0 0.2	0.0 0.8	0.0 2.0	20.4 23.1	79.6 76.9	106 127,830		


TEACHER INFORMATION (Continued)

		(continued)			
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Master's & Above	% of Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials	% of Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
School				0.0	0.0
District	10.6	57.8	42.2	0.0	0.0
State	12.9	37.8	61.7	0.6	0.7

Some teacher/administrator data are not collected at the school level.

# SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES





<b>REVENUE BY SOURCE 2010-1</b>	11			EXPENDITURE BY FUND 2010-	11		
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$13,385,144	60.2	58.2	Education	\$11,856,044	72.5	73.7
				<b>Operations &amp; Maintenance</b>	\$1,421,612	8.7	5.9
Other Local Funding	\$683,730	3.1	5.1	Transportation	\$1,026,725	6.3	3.8
				Debt Service	\$1,525,375	9.3	7.4
General State Aid	\$5,143,814	23.1	17.1	Tort	\$23,200	0.1	1.2
				Municipal Retirement/			
Other State Funding	\$1,651,323	7.4	9.5	Social Security	\$481,436	2.9	2.0
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$574	0.0	0.8
Federal Funding	\$1,379,446	6.2	10.1	Capital Projects	\$23,819	0.1	5.1
TOTAL	\$22,243,457			TOTAL	\$16,358,785		

OTHER FINA	OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS											
	2009 Equalized	2009 Total School	2010-11 Instructional	2010-11 Operating								
	Assessed Valuation	Tax Rate	Expenditure	Expenditure								
	per Pupil	per \$100	per Pupil	per Pupil								
District	\$118,015	4.85	\$5,074	\$8,696								
State	**	**	\$6,824	\$11,664								

\*\* Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided. Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.


Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.


Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

# ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

#### **OVERALL STUDENT PERFORMANCE**


These charts present the overall percentages of state test scores categorized as meeting or exceeding the Illinois Learning Standards for your school, district, and the state. They respresent your school's performance in reading, mathematics, and science.






#### ISAT PERFORMANCE

These charts provide information on attainment of the Illinois Learning Standards. They show the percents of student scores meeting or exceeding Standards for the grades and subjects tested on ISAT.





# PERFORMANCE ON STATE ASSESSMENTS

Federal law requires that student achievement results for reading, mathematics, and science for schools providing Title I services be reported to the general public.

The Illinois Standards Achievement Test (ISAT) is administered to students in grades 3 through 8. The Prairie State Achievement Examination (PSAE) is administered to students in grade 11. The Illinois Alternate Assessment (IAA) is administered to students with disabilities whose Individualized Education Programs (IEPs) indicate that participation in the ISAT or PSAE would not be appropriate.

Students with disabilities have an IEP (No Child Left Behind Act). An IEP is a written plan for a child with a disability who is eligible to receive special education services under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.

Reading and Mathematics are tested in grades 3 through 8 and 11. Science is tested in grades 4, 7, and 11.

In order to protect students' identities, test data for groups of fewer than ten students are not reported.

PERCE	NTAGE OF ST	TUDENTS I	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR I	READING							
			Ge	nder		R	lacial/Ethni	c Backgro	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	270	128	142	189	5	66	2	0	0	8	12	0	33	117
	Reading	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					0.0		0.0	0.0
District	*Enrollment	911	454	457	669	9	195	8	0	1	29	47	1	110	368
	Reading	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.6		0.0				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.3
State	*Enrollment	1,072,304	548,690	523,352	547,900	192,977	251,440	45,188	977	3,177	29,968	75,031	261	146,113	531,157
	Reading	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.6	2.3	0.9	0.5

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

Number of LEP Students who have attended schools in the U.S. for less than 12 months and are not assessed on the State's reading/language arts test: 0

PERCE	NTAGE OF ST	UDENTS	NOT TEST	ED IN STA	TE TESTIN	IG PROGR	AMS FOR I	MATHEM	ATICS						
			Ge	nder		F	Racial/Ethni	c Backgro	ound						
		All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific islander	American	Two or More Races	LEP	Migrant	Students with Disabilities	Econo- mically Disadv- antaged
School	*Enrollment	270	128	142	189	5	66	2	0	0	8	12	0	33	117
	Mathematics	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0					0.0		0.0	0.0
	*Enrollment	911	454	457	669	9	195	8	0	1	29	47	1	110	368
District	Mathematics	0.4	0.7	0.2	0.6		0.0				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.3
State	*Enrollment	1,073,764	549,462	524,040	548,234	193,064	252,013	45,638	983	3,180	29,975	76,502	271	146,133	532,214
State	Mathematics	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.9	0.5

\* Enrollment as reported during the testing windows for grades 3 - 8 and 11.

## ILLINOIS STANDARDS ACHIEVEMENT TEST (ISAT)

The following tables show the percentages of student scores in each of four performance levels. These levels were established with the help of Illinois educators who teach the grade levels and learning areas tested. Due to rounding, the sum of the percentages in the four performance levels may not always equal 100.

Level 1 Academic Warning -	Student work demonstrates limited knowledge and skills in the subject. Because of major gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills ineffectively.
Level 2 Below Standards -	Student work demonstrates basic knowledge and skills in the subject. However, because of gaps in learning, students apply knowledge and skills in limited ways.
Level 3 Meets Standards -	Student work demonstrates proficient knowledge and skills in the subject. Students effectively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems.
Level 4 Exceeds Standards -	Student work demonstrates advanced knowledge and skills in the subject. Students creatively apply knowledge and skills to solve problems and evaluate the results.

7

# Grade 5

Grade 5 - All								
		Read	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
School District State	0.0 0.0 0.2	14.4 14.4 22.0	61.4 61.4 47.2	24.2 24.2 30.6	0.0 0.0 0.6	10.6 10.6 15.7	76.5 76.5 65.9	12.9 12.9 17.7

## Grade 5 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male	School	0.0	21.1	59.6	19.3	0.0	14.0	73.7	12.3		
	District	0.0	21.1	59.6	19.3	0.0	14.0	73.7	12.3		
	State	0.2	25.5	47.4	26.9	0.8	16.8	64.3	18.1		
Female	School	0.0	9.3	62.7	28.0	0.0	8.0	78.7	13.3		
	District	0.0	9.3	62.7	28.0	0.0	8.0	78.7	13.3		
	State	0.1	18.4	47.0	34.5	0.5	14.6	67.6	17.2		

## Grade 5 - Racial/Ethnic Background

		Rea	ding			Mather	natics	
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
White School District State	0.0 0.0 0.1	10.3 10.3 12.7	62.9 62.9 46.3	26.8 26.8 41.0	0.0 0.0 0.3	11.3 11.3 9.1	72.2 72.2 66.6	16.5 16.5 24.0
Black School District State	0.4	38.1	48.0	13.5	1.5	30.3	63.1	5.1
Hispanic School District State	0.0 0.0 0.2	25.8 25.8 32.5	64.5 64.5 49.8	9.7 9.7 17.5	0.0 0.0 0.8	9.7 9.7 21.0	87.1 87.1 69.6	3.2 3.2 8.6
Asian School District State	0.1	9.2	39.1	51.7	0.3	5.3	49.5	44.9
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander School District State	0.0	12.6	50.5	36.9	0.0	9.1	69.1	21.8
American Indian School District State	0.2	26.3	53.8	19.6	0.5	20.6	67.2	11.7
Two or More Races School District State	0.2	16.5	46.9	36.4	0.5	13.1	64.9	21.4

#### Grade 5 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3 4		1	2	3	4		
IEP	School	0.0	64.3	35.7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0		
	District	0.0	64.3	35.7	0.0	0.0	42.9	57.1	0.0		
	State	0.9	60.2	31.5	7.3	3.2	42.7	49.4	4.7		
Non-IEP	School	0.0	8.5	64.4	27.1	0.0	6.8	78.8	14.4		
	District	0.0	8.5	64.4	27.1	0.0	6.8	78.8	14.4		
	State	0.1	16.5	49.5	34.0	0.3	11.8	68.3	19.6		

#### Grade 5 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding	_	Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Free/Reduced Price Lunch School District State	0.0 0.0 0.3	19.3 19.3 33.5	64.9 64.9 49.7	15.8 15.8 16.5	0.0 0.0 1.0	10.5 10.5 24.0	86.0 86.0 67.6	3.5 3.5 7.4		
Not Eligible School District State	0.0 0.0 0.1	10.7 10.7 10.0	58.7 58.7 44.5	30.7 30.7 45.5	0.0 0.0 0.2	10.7 10.7 7.1	69.3 69.3 64.2	20.0 20.0 28.4		

## Grade 6

## Grade 6 - All

		Rea	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
School	0.0	11.6	65.2	23.2	0.0	15.9	61.6	22.5		
District State	0.0 0.2	11.6 18.1	65.2 56.5	23.2 25.2	0.0 0.4	15.9 14.6	61.6 58.9	22.5 26.0		

#### Grade 6 - Gender

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
Male	School	0.0	15.5	64.8	19.7	0.0	18.3	54.9	26.8		
	District	0.0	15.5	64.8	19.7	0.0	18.3	54.9	26.8		
	State	0.3	21.2	55.3	23.1	0.5	15.8	56.8	26.9		
Female	School	0.0	7.5	65.7	26.9	0.0	13.4	68.7	17.9		
	District	0.0	7.5	65.7	26.9	0.0	13.4	68.7	17.9		
	State	0.1	14.8	57.8	27.3	0.3	13.4	61.2	25.1		

#### Grade 6 - Racial/Ethnic Background

			Rea	dina			Mathe	matics	
	Lavala	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4
	Levels	- 1	2	3	4		2	<u> </u>	4
White	School	0.0	8.7	66.3	25.0	0.0	12.0	60.9	27.2
	District	0.0	8.7	66.3	25.0	0.0	12.0	60.9	27.2
	State	0.1	10.9	55.2	33.7	0.2	8.3	57.2	34.4
Black									
	School								
	District	0.4	32.3	57.3	10.0	1.0	28.0	61.3	9.7
	State	0.4	32.3	57.5	10.0	1.0	20.0	01.3	9.7
Hispanic	School	0.0	14.3	62.9	22.9	0.0	22.9	65.7	11.4
	District	0.0	14.3	62.9	22.9	0.0	22.9	65.7	11.4
	State	0.2	24.8	61.0	13.9	0.5	19.7	64.8	15.0
Asian									
	School								
	District								
	State	0.1	6.6	45.3	48.0	0.1	4.8	38.6	56.5
	aiian/Pacific								
Islander	School								
	District								
	State	0.0	9.6	57.4	33.1	0.0	7.3	56.9	35.8
American In	ndian								
	School								
	District	0.0	00.4	FF 0	20.0	0.0	10.0	50.4	04.0
	State	0.2	23.4	55.6	20.8	0.8	19.0	59.1	21.2
Two or Mor									
	School District								
	State	0.3	14.6	54.3	30.8	0.3	13.1	57.5	29.1
	Jiale	0.0	1.0	04.0	50.0	0.5	13.1	51.5	23.1

## Grade 6 - Students with Disabilities

			Rea	ding		Mathematics					
	Levels	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4		
IEP	School	0.0	63.2	36.8	0.0	0.0	68.4	31.6	0.0		
	District	0.0	63.2	36.8	0.0	0.0	68.4	31.6	0.0		
	State	1.2	56.2	37.4	5.2	2.3	45.3	46.6	5.9		
Non-IEP	School	0.0	3.4	69.7	26.9	0.0	7.6	66.4	26.1		
	District	0.0	3.4	69.7	26.9	0.0	7.6	66.4	26.1		
	State	0.1	12.6	59.3	28.1	0.1	10.2	60.7	28.9		

## Grade 6 - Economically Disadvantaged

		Rea	ding		Mathematics					
Levels	1	2	3	3 4		2	3	4		
Free/Reduced Price Lunch										
School	0.0	18.3	68.3	13.3	0.0	21.7	66.7	11.7		
District	0.0	18.3	68.3	13.3	0.0	21.7	66.7	11.7		
State	0.3	27.7	59.7	12.3	0.7	22.5	63.8	13.0		
Not Eligible										
School	0.0	6.4	62.8	30.8	0.0	11.5	57.7	30.8		
District	0.0	6.4	62.8	30.8	0.0	11.5	57.7	30.8		
State	0.1	8.1	53.3	38.5	0.1	6.5	53.9	39.5		

# 2012 ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) STATUS REPORT

Is this school making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)?	Yes	Has this school been identified for School Improvement according to AYP specifications of the federal No Child Left Behind Act?	the No
Is this school making AYP in Reading?	Yes	2012-13 Federal Improvement Status	
Is this school making AYP in Mathematics?	Yes	2012-13 State Improvement Status	

		Percent T State	ested on Tests			Percent N	leeting/Ex	ceeding S	tandards *			Other In	dicators	
	Rea	ding	Mather	matics	Reading		Mathematics			Attendance Rate		5-YEAR Graduation Rate		
	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Safe Harbor Target **	Met AYP	%	Met AYP	%	Met AYP
State AYP Minimum Target	95.0		95.0		85.0			85.0			91.0		82.0	
All	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	87.5		Yes	87.1		Yes	96.2	Yes		
White	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	90.9		Yes	88.6		Yes				
Black														
Hispanic	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	80.3		Yes	83.6		Yes				
Asian														
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander														
American Indian														
Two or More Races														
LEP														
Students with Disabilities														
Economically Disadvantaged	100.0	Yes	100.0	Yes	82.4		Yes	85.3		Yes				

Four Conditions Are Required For Making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP):

1. At least 95% tested in reading and mathematics for every student group. If the current year participation rate is less than 95%, this condition may be met if the average of the current and preceding year rates is at least 95%, or if the average of the current and two preceding years is at least 95%. Only actual participation rates are printed. If the participation rate printed is less than 95% and yet this school makes AYP, it means that the 95% condition was met by averaging.

2. At least 85% meeting/exceeding standards in reading and mathematics for every group. For any group with less than 85% meeting/exceeding standards, a 95% confidence interval was applied. Subgroups may meet this condition through Safe Harbor provisions. \*\*\*

3. At least 91% attendance rate for non-high schools and at least 82% graduation rate for high schools.

\* Includes only students enrolled as of 05/01/2011.

\*\* Safe Harbor Targets of 85% or above are not printed.

\*\*\* Subgroups with fewer than 45 students are not reported. Safe Harbor only applies to subgroups of 45 or more. In order for Safe Harbor to apply, a subgroup must decrease by 10% the percentage of scores that did not meet state standards from the previous year plus meet the other indicators (attendance rate for non-high schools and graduation rate for high schools) for the subgroup. For subgroups that do not meet their Safe Harbor Targets, a 75% confidence interval is applied. Safe Harbor allows schools an alternate method to meet subgroup minimum targets on achievement.